

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
11 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
12

13 VANESSA NELSON

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 WOOD RESIDENTIAL, LLC; WOOD
17 PARTNERS, LLC; WOOD REAL ESTATE
18 INVESTORS, LLC; and DOES 1-50,
inclusive,

19 Defendant.
20
21
22

Case No. 2:24-cv-00419-ART-BNW

ORDER GRANTING

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND
DEADLINE FOR DEFENDANTS
WOOD REAL ESTATE INVESTORS,
LLC AND WOOD PARTNERS, LLC
TO FILE A REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANTS JOINT MOTION TO
DISMISS PLAINTIFF’S AMENDED
COMPLAINT [ECF NO. 28], AND FOR
DEFENDANT WOOD RESIDENTIAL,
LLC TO FILE A REPLY IN SUPPORT
OF MOTION TO DISMISS [ECF NO.
29]**

First Request

23 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff Vanessa Nelson, (“Plaintiff”),
24 through her counsel JASON KULLER, ESQ., of the law firm RAFII & ASSOCIATES, P.C. and
25 Wood Residential, LLC, Wood Real Estate Investors, LLC, and Wood Partners, LLC (collectively
26 “Defendants”) through their counsel JACKSON LEWIS P.C., that Defendants shall have an
27 extension, up to and including Friday, July 12, 2024, in which to file their respective replies in
28 support of Wood Real Estate Investors, LLC’s and Wood Partners, LLC’s Joint Motion to Dismiss

1 Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (ECF No. 28) and Defendant Wood Residential's Motion to
2 Dismiss (ECF No. 29).

3 This Stipulation is submitted and based upon the following:

4 1. Defendant Wood Real Estate Investors, LLC and Wood Partners, LLC's reply in
5 support of Joint Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (ECF No. 28) is currently due
6 on July 5, 2024.

7 2. Defendant Wood Residential, LLC's reply in support of Motion to Dismiss is also
8 currently due on July 5, 2024.

9 3. Due to Defendants' Counsel, Deverie Christensen, Esq., being out of the office for
10 pre-planned vacation between June 28, 2024, and July 7, 2024 (just after Plaintiffs filed their
11 responses on June 28, 2024, to Defendants' respective Motions to Dismiss (ECF Nos. 28 and 29)),
12 and the due dates for responses falling 7 days thereafter. Defendants need additional time to prepare
13 their replies, and Plaintiff's counsel has agreed to the extension.

14 4. LR 7-2 requires that any reply must be filed within 7 days after service of a response
15 to a motion. However, Defense Counsel will be out of the office for a pre-planned vacation from
16 June 28, 2024, through July 7, 2024, and Defendants will need additional time to prepare and file
17 replies in support of their Motions.
18

19 5. Thus, Defendants requested to extend the deadline for replies for one week to July
20 12, 2024, and Plaintiff has agreed to the extension.
21

22 6. This is the first request for an extension of time for Defendants to file replies in
23 support of their respective motions to dismiss.

24 7. This request is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.
25

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

3